

2/26/2021

Anthony Caradonna
Associate Dean, School of Architecture and Design
Acarado1@nyit.edu

Dear Anthony,

Thank you for your quality initiative proposal. We regret to inform you that **your CPI-QI proposal has not been recommended for funding by the CPI Committee**, a subcommittee of the New York Institute of Technology Academic Senate. Your proposal was not recommended by the committee for funding at the 2/25/21 CPI Committee meeting, based on the following rules:

- Each of the five criteria must be approved by more than 50% of votes
- Conclusion on overall (I-V) must be approved by more than 50% of votes

Detailed votes on each criterion is attached.

Further information on resubmission will be forthcoming but due to the short timeline it is unlikely the committee will be able to review your proposal again in the current budget cycle.

Sincerely,

Shifang Li

R Nowak

CPI Committee Co-chairs
Shifang Li, Ed.D. Director, Institutional Effectiveness
Radek Nowak, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, SOM

ID proposal, 2/25 Report		
Criteria Description	Count of Vote	% Of different
1. Clarity of purpose and goals and significant impact on the department and institution • Clear purpose and goals reflective of the scope and significance of the initiative • Potential for significant impact on the institution's quality	11	100%
Abstain	1	9%
Needs More Clarification	3	27%
Yes - Meets Criteria	7	64%
2. Clarity of the evaluation process: • The baseline is clearly created based on reliable data analysis and research • Clear processes for evaluating the progress at the milestone with intended goals.	12	100%
Abstain	1	8%
Needs More Clarification	4	33%
No - Does Not Meet Criteria	1	8%
Yes - Meets Criteria	6	50%
3. Clarity of the timeline for implementation: • Appropriate time for the implementation of each activities (steps) • Reasonable full implementation plan for the time period	11	100%
Abstain	2	18%
Needs More Clarification	2	18%
Yes - Meets Criteria	7	64%
4. Clarify of the human resources support for the initiative: • Commitment of leadership • Commitment and involvement of key people and groups	12	100%
Abstain	1	8%
Needs More Clarification	3	25%
Yes - Meets Criteria	8	67%
5. Request for human, financial and other resources: • Reasonable amount of resources request • Within the capacity of the committee can provide.	12	100%
Abstain	3	25%
Needs More Clarification	6	50%
No - Does Not Meet Criteria	1	8%
Yes - Meets Criteria	2	17%
Conclusion: Based on your evaluation of Criteria I thru V, do you recommend the proposal for funding?	11	100%
Abstain	2	18%
No - Do not recommend for funding	1	9%
Resubmit	4	36%
Yes - Recommend for funding	4	36%

